Suffolk County Vector Control & Wetlands Management Long Term Plan & Environmental Impact Statement

> Task 3b: Early Action Projects Non-Target Invertebrate Study

> > Prepared for:

Suffolk County Department of Public Works Suffolk County Department of Health Services Suffolk County, New York

Prepared by:

CASHIN ASSOCIATES, P.C. 1200 Veterans Memorial Highway, Hauppauge, NY

June 2005

#### SUFFOLK COUNTY VECTOR CONTROL AND WETLANDS MANAGEMENT LONG - TERM PLAN AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

## **PROJECT SPONSOR**

Steve Levy Suffolk County Executive



#### **Department of Public Works**

Charles J. Bartha, P.E. Commissioner Richard LaValle, P.E. Chief Deputy Commissioner Leslie A. Mitchel Deputy Commissioner

#### **Department of Health Services**

Brian L. Harper, M.D., M.P.H. Commissioner Vito Minei, P.E. Director, Division of Environmental Quality

#### PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Project Manager: Walter Dawydiak, P.E., J.D. Chief Engineer, Division of Environmental Quality, Suffolk County Department of Health Services

#### Suffolk County Department of Public Works, Division of Vector Control

Dominick V. Ninivaggi Superintendent Tom Iwanejko Entomologist Mary E. Dempsey Biologist

#### <u>Suffolk County Department of</u> Health Services, Office of Ecology

Martin Trent Acting Chief Kim Shaw Bureau Supervisor Robert M. Waters Bureau Supervisor Laura Bavaro Senior Environmental Analyst Erin Duffy Environmental Analyst Phil DeBlasi Environmental Analyst Jeanine Schlosser Principal Clerk

# SUFFOLK COUNTY LONG TERM PLAN CONSULTANT TEAM

Cashin Associates, P.C.	Hauppauge, NY
Subconsultants	
Cameron Engineering, L.L.P.	Syosset, NY
Integral Consulting	Annapolis, MD
Bowne Management Systems, Inc.	Mineola, NY
Kamazima Lwiza, PhD	Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
Ducks Unlimited	Stony Brook, NY
Steven Goodbred, PhD & Laboratory	Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
RTP Environmental	Westbury, NY
Sinnreich, Safar & Kosakoff	Central Islip, NY
Bruce Brownawell, PhD & Laboratory	Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
Anne McElroy, PhD & Laboratory	Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
Andrew Spielman, PhD	Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA
Richard Pollack, PhD	Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA
Wayne Crans, PhD	Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ
Susan Teitelbaum, PhD	Mount Sinai School of Medicine, NY
Zawicki Vector Management Consultants	Freehold, NJ
Michael Bottini, Turtle Researcher	East Hampton, NY
Robert Turner, PhD & Laboratory	Southampton College, NY
Christopher Gobler, PhD & Laboratory	Southampton College, NY
Jerome Goddard, PhD	Mississippi Department of Health, Jackson, MS
Sergio Sanudo, PhD & Laboratory	Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
Robert Cerrato, PhD	Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY
Suffolk County Department of Health Services, Division of Environmental Quality	Hauppauge, NY

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTRODUCTION1
2	SAMPLING PROCEDURES 4
3	DATA
4	DATA ANALYSIS
5	CONCLUSIONS
TAF	BLES
Tabl	e 1 – Number of Larvicide Applications 2
Tabl Tabl	e 1 – Number of Larvicide Applications
Tabl Tabl Tabl	<ul> <li>e 1 – Number of Larvicide Applications</li></ul>

### **APPENDIX 1 - SITE MAPS**

**APPENDIX 2 - DATA TABLES** 

#### 1. Introduction

Invertebrates are often used as a measure of overall habitat function and health. Melampus snails (family Melampodidae) serve as food for waterfowl. Amphipods (Order Amphipoda) are common inhabitants of tidal marsh vegetation. Fiddler crabs (*Uca spp.*) are not found in all Long Island salt marshes, but may be a keystone species where present. Studies have suggested that the use of vector control larvicides can reduce the number and diversity of invertebrates. Therefore, Cashin Associates, PC (CA) undertook a small study of the potential for impacts to marsh invertebrate populations using these three signature invertebrates.

Five pairs of marshes were sampled for the presence of these invertebrates. Paired marshes were in close physical proximity, morphologically similar, and sampled on the same date, within two hours of the low tide. The intent of the pairing was that one marsh in each pair is treated with larvicides, while the other is not. Due to communication errors, it turned out that only three of the sampled marshes have been treated, and the other seven were not. Although this reduces the power of the sampling, it also allows for greater comparison of between marsh differences.

The marsh pairs were:

• Pair 1: Smith Point North-Hospital Point (South Shore)

Smith Point North has received larvicide treatments, and Hospital Point did not over the 2001 – 2004 period.

• Pair 2: Smith Point Park-William Floyd Estate (South Shore)

Smith Point County Park has received only a few larvicide treatments, and the William Floyd Estate was not treated at all over the 2001 – 2004 period.

• Pair 3: Sunken Meadow-Crab Meadow (North Shore)

Sunken Meadow has received treatments, and Crab Meadow did not over the 2001 – 2004 period.

• Pair 4: Hubbard Creek-Mill Creek (Peconic)

There was miscommunication that led the site selector to understand Mill Creek received larvicide treatments. Neither marsh has been treated over the 2001 - 2004 period.

• Pair 5: Mashomack-Little Northwest Creek (Peconic)

There was miscommunication that implied Mashomack and Little Northwest Creek had received some larvicide applications; it was anticipated that the amount of treatment for each would differ. A records search showed neither marsh has been treated over the 2001 -2004 period.

All 10 marshes were grid ditched, presumably in the 1930s. The presence of ditches has been noted, by some, to impact invertebrate populations.

A location map of the sites is included in Appendix 1. Appendix 1 also includes aerial photographs of the marshes. The treatment history of the three larvicided marshes is summarized in Table 1.

	Smith Point North	Smith Point Park	Sunken Meadow
2001			
Bti	4	3	5
Methoprene	11	0	12
Duplex	3	0	4
2002			
Bti	3	0	3
Methoprene	4	0	9
Duplex	4	0	4
2003			
Bti	3	1	4
Methoprene	7	0	12
Duplex	2	0	2
2004			
Bti	1	0	1
Methoprene	7	0	5
Duplex	5	0	3
Total, 2001-2004	54	4	64

 Table 1. Number of Larvicide Applications

*Bacillus thuringiensis israelensis (Bti)* is a bacterial control agent is consumed by mosquito larvae, and leads to death by disrupting the larval gut. Methoprene is a mimic of a an insect growth regulator. It is absorbed by the larvae, and interferes with the maturation of the larvae so that, in a non-feeding stage, the larvae or pupae starve. *Bti* is only useful against earlier stage larvae. Methoprene is most effective against older larvae. When a mix of larvae are present, or the larvae are developing quickly, a duplex formulation of both pesticides is sometimes applied.

#### 2. Sampling Procedure

Four marsh surface samples were taken on each marsh. Sites were selected by vegetation type and elevation, beginning near a major ditch or tidal creek (low marsh, *Spartina alterniflora*) and moving inland to include a high marsh (*S. patens*) sample and a marsh transition sample (*Iva*, *Phragmites*). If pools or pannes were present, a sample was taken from its vegetated edge. If not, the fourth sample was taken at a mid marsh elevation containing a variety of species of vegetation.

A circular metal frame 16cm in diameter was used to define the sampling area. The frame was inserted into the marsh surface to a depth of approximately 5 cm. The soil and root mass within the frame was excavated using a sharp knife, and the sample was collected in a labeled plastic bag. Each marsh sample was processed in a sorting tray. The sample mass was carefully examined for the presence of Melampus and Amphipods.

If *Uca spp*. were present, their abundance was measured by counting the number of burrows within a 30cm ring. Sampling for *Uca spp*. was done parallel to a major ditch or tidal creek edge, by dropping the ring at three meter intervals.

### 3. Data

Appendix 2 contains the sampling results for each marsh. These sampling results are summarized in Tables 2-4 below.

 Table 2.
 South Shore

	Smith Point North	Hospital Point	Smith Point Park	William Floyd Estate
Fiddler Crabs	None	None	None	None
Amphipods				
Low	4	41	8	25
Mix	4			
Panne		49	10	6
High	3	8	14	9
Transition	2	25	14	11
Total	13	123	46	51
Melampus				
Low	0	0	1	3
Mix	4			
Panne		0	1	2
High	0	15	4	5
Transition	9	0	1	4
Total	13	15	7	14

Table 3. North Shore

	Sunken Meadow	Crab Meadow
<b>Fiddler Crabs</b>	13	5
Amphipods		
Low	8	9
Mix	17	
Panne		5
High	5	3
Transition	5	3
Total	35	20
Melampus		
Low	0	0
Mix	0	
Panne		0
High	0	0
Transition	0	0
Total	0	0

	Mill Creek	Hubbard Creek	Mashomack	Little Northwest Creek
Fiddler Crabs	8	6	11	None
Amphipods				
Low	0	18	0	10
Mix		4		
Panne	3		1	10
High	4	4	2	5
Transition	5	0	7	2
Total	12	26	10	27
Melampus				
Low	26	12	0	0
Mix		1		
Panne	68		6	0
High	12	5	3	0
Transition	1	0	2	1
Total	107	18	11	1

# Table 4. Peconic Bay

#### 4. Data Analysis

Amphipod abundance was clearly greatest at Hospital Point, and snail abundance was greatest at Mill Creek. There were more fiddler crabs at Sunken meadow than any other marsh, although the difference between this site and the other four marshes were crabs were detected was much smaller than the differences between the maximum amphipod and snail abundance sites.

Amphipods were detected at all ten marshes. A pattern of greatest abundance in the low marshmix-panne areas, with lesser abundances in the high marsh and transition zones can be discerned for all marshes except Smith Point Park, Mill Creek, and Mashomack. Abundance was high at Smith Point Park, despite this lack of conformance. Three sites had lower abundances: Smith Point North, Mill Creek, and Mashomack. Therefore, one of the treated sites had an "unusual" pattern of amphipod detections, and one had lower abundances.

Snails were not detected at the North Shore marshes, and only one was found at Little Northwest Creek. Abundances were very high at Mill Creek, and very low at Little Northwest Creek; otherwise, abundances were somewhat similar at the other six marshes where the snails were found. There were few coherent patterns in terms of ecological settings for the detections. One of the treated sites had lower abundances of snails; no conclusion should be drawn regarding the absence of snails at Sunken meadow, as they were not found at the paired site, either. The variation is snail abundance was greater for the Peconic sites, which suggests environmental factors are a greater control on their overall abundance than treatments with larvicides.

Fiddler crabs were not detected on the south shore, due to a general lack of favored habitat – open beach along a waterway. The treated site had more crab burrows than the untreated sites. The difference between the two north shore sites seemed to be approximately the same as the differences found among the three marshes in the Peconics where crabs were detected. This suggests that larvicide applications do not influence crab abundances.

#### 5. Conclusions

This was a limited sampling effort. The power of the study would have been enhanced if the original design had been applicable. However, the limited data collected here implies that long-term, persistent use of modern larvicides appears to have no impact on these signature invertebrates.

Sampling for invertebrates is labor-intensive. Patchy populations may result in sampling artifacts that control the results. Diversity indices are sometimes preferred to abundance measures. However, studies that reported effects from mosquito larvicides reported tended to report greater changes in abundances than in diversity. This suggests that measuring invertebrate diversities at these marshes in place of the three invertebrate abundances might not have produced different results.

Finding pairs of somewhat similar marshes in the same general area of the estuary systems with different treatment histories proved to be more difficult than originally perceived. The power of any follow-up study might be enhanced by focusing on the treated sites, and using one area control site. However, the how representative the control site actually is would then become very important. This is not an idle concern, given the relatively large variation is results found for the four Peconic sites, and between Hospital Point and William Floyd Estate.



# APPENDIX 1 Site Maps

These are contained in a separate file.



# APPENDIX 2 Sampling Data

PAIR I	[	
Site : Smith Point Cou	nty Par	k North
Date: August 26, 2004	Time:	2:20 p.m.

Moon Phase	3 days before full				
Weather	Sunny, 75°, Wind SE @	bunny, 75°, Wind SE @ 10 km			
Tide	Low Tide @ 2:35, Mastie	Low Tide @ 2:35, Mastic Beach			
Notes: Extensive ditching Vegetation mainly S. alter	, many fish in ditches and niflora.	pools. No larval mosquite	bes noted, adults present. No	o fiddler crabs.	
	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4	
Site	Low marsh S. alterniflora, ditch edge.	High marsh S. patens, slight hummocks, 30' from edge of large ditch.	Mix of S. alterniflora and S. patens. 50' inland from ditch, 40' from marsh's upland edge, many invertebrates.	Transition Iva/S. alterniflora/Phragmites mix, 80' from ditch.	
Amphipods	4	3	4	2	
Melampus	0	0	4	9	

# PAIR I Site: Hospital Point, F.I.N.S. Date: August 26, 2004 Time: 3:20 p.m.

Notes: Many adult mosquitoes at marsh transition, some on marsh, no larvae noted. No fiddler crabs, even in inland panne areas. Some ditching, poor condition. No fiddler crabs.

	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
~				
Site	Low marsh. S.	High marsh. S. patens	Panne edge. Salicornia	Transition. Stunted S.
	alterniflora, 3" from	with some Salicornia.	and stunted S.	alterniflora and
	ditch edge, 50' from	80' from ditch edge,	alterniflora.	Distichlis meets
	bay.	120' from bay.		Phragmites, Iva and
				Baccharis.
Amphipods	41	8	49	25
	(nearly all small in size)	(many invertebrates,	(mainly small)	(mainly small)
		mites, ants,)		
Melampus	0	15	0	0

	Site: Date: Septemb	PAIR II William Floyd Estate per 1, 2004 Time: 11:3	30 a.m.	
Moon Phase	3 days after full moo	n		
Weather	Sunny, 75°, wind N a	tt 7 knots		
Tide	Low at Mastic Beach	at 11:05 a.m.		
Note: Marsh surface very wet, less No fiddler crabs.	wet near bay. Few ad	ult mosquitoes, no larvae not	ed. Ditches with fish	and high water levels.
	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
Site	Low marsh S. alterniflora, ditch edge 100' from bay.	High marsh S. patens, 100' from bay, 60' from ditch edge.	Panne edge. Stunted S. alterniflora, Salicornia sp. Standing water, 40' from bay.	Transition Stunted S. alterniflora, Iva, Phragmites. Significant elevation change, dry soil. 450' from bay.
Amphipods	25	9	6	11
Melampus	3	5	2	4

# PAIR II Site: Smith Point Park Date: September 1, 2004 Time: 12:50 a.m.

Notes: Small marsh area, wet surface. Mainly S. alterniflora, Distichlis and Salicornia. Very many biting flies (Simulidae). No mosquitoes or fiddler crabs noted.

-	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
Site	Low marsh S. alterniflora. Ditch edge 80' from bay; standing water.	High marsh. Small patch of S. patens, 200' from bay. Very wet. Many invertebrates, notably Isopoda.	Panne edge. Distichlis, 120' from bay, standing water.	Transition. Iva, Phragmites, S. patens and Distichlis. Drier site.
Amphipods	8	14	10	14
Melampus	1	4	1	1

PAIR III
Site: Sunken Meadow State Park
Date: September 2, 2004 Time: 7:30 a.m.

Moon Phase	4 days past full.			
Low Tide	8:10 a.m. at Nissequogue River			
Weather	Sunny, 70°, NW wind 15	knots		
Notes: Vegetative growth	not as dense as other marsl	iflora Sand with small sto	well drained, no mosquitoe	s, no ditches or pools.
$R_1$ : 7 burrows	$R_2$ : 2	R <sub>3</sub> :4	nes, nobel mussels seattere	a unougnout.
	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
Site	Low marsh Sparse S. alterniflora, fiddler crab burrows. Inundated at high tide, 20' from waters edge at low tide.	High marsh. S. patens, Limonium 100' from water at low tide. No crabs.	Stunted S. alterniflora, Distichlis, Salicornia, no crabs 60' from water.	Transition. S. Patens, Phragmites, Iva. Very sandy, dry.
Amphipods	8	5	17 (most very small)	5
Melampus	0	0	0	0

## PAIR III Site: Crab Meadow Date: September 2, 2004 Time: 9:15 a.m.

Notes: Ditches nearly choked off, many pools with fish, pannes with many fiddler crabs. Crab burrows also between S. alterniflora stems. No mosquitoes noted.

Fiddler Crabs: Sampled parallel to lowest edge of S. alterniflora on edge of main channel, 300 yards from Long Island Sound.

R <sub>1</sub> : 2	$R_2: 2$	$R_3: 1$		
	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
Site	Low marsh S. alterniflora at top of bluff off main channel, crab burrows, vegetation not dense, sandy well drained soil.	High marsh. S. patens. 20' from high tide line off main channel, moist soil, no crabs.	Pool edge. Distichlis, stunted S. alterniflora. 120' from main channel.	Transition. Iva with Distichlis 150' from main channel. Very many ants with eggs but little other life.
Amphipods	9	3	5	3
Melampus	0	0	0	0

PAIR IV				
Site: Mill Creek				
Date: September 9, 2004	Time:	12:05		

Moon Phase	4 days before new moon			
Low Tide	1:45 at Sag Harbor			
Weather	Sunny 70°, SW Wind at 1	5-20 knots		
Notes: No ditches, no mo	squitoes noted (too windy f	or flying insects). Fiddler c	rabs throughout marsh. Cro	eek banks steep with tall
S. alterniflora to waters ed	lge, no bare sand, little S. pa	atens present in marsh.	-	-
Fiddler crabs: Sampled in	tall S. alterniflora along cr	eek bank.		
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	6			
$R_1$ ·4	$R_2$ : 1	R₂: 3		
	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
	-	-	-	-
Site	Low marsh. S.	High marsh. S. patens	Panne edge. Stunted S.	Transition. S.
	alterniflora 15' from	mixed with stunted S.	alterniflora, Salicornia,	alterniflora and
	creek, 200' yards from	alterniflora nearby.	dead S. patens. Many	Distichlis meeting Iva
	bay. Some fiddlers and	Hummocks, some	Melampus evident on	and Baccharis.
	ribbed mussels.	fiddlers and mussels.	surrounding bare	
		many small isopods.	ground. Fiddlers and	
			mussels present.	
Amphipods	0	4	3	5
·	, v	•		
Melampus	26	12	68	1

## PAIR IV Site: Hubbard Creek Date: September 9, 2004 Time: 1:10

Notes: Many natural creeks and drains with fish and snails (Littorina sp.). No mosquitoes noted. Fiddler crabs only along creek banks and hummocks in tall S. alterniflora.

Fiddler Crabs: Sampled in tall S. alterniflora along creek bank.

R <sub>1</sub> : 3	R <sub>2</sub> : 1	R <sub>3</sub> : 2		
	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
Site	Low marsh S. alterniflora 6' off creek edge, many ribbed mussels, no crabs.	High marsh. S. patens. 100' from creek, 30' from treeline.	Wet area with Typha sp, and dead S. patens (no pannes on marsh).	Transition. Juncus at edge of Iva and greenbriar.
Amphipods	18	4	4	0
Melampus	12	5	1	0

# PAIR V Site: Little Northwest Creek Date: 10/19/04 Time: 8:40

Moon Phase	8 days before full			
Weather	Moderate rain, 60°, wind			
	NE 12 knots			
Tide	Low tide 9:00 a.m @			
	Sag Harbor			
Notes: Extensive ditching	g up to marsh border, good t	tidal invitation, little high m	arsh. No crabs or fish note	d. Too late in season for
mosquito larvae, too wind	y for adults. Many ribbed r	nussels near waterways, ma	my small pools and salt par	ines.
	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
Site	Low marsh. Ditch edge	High marsh. S. patens	Pool/wet panne edge.	Transition. Iva,
	100' from main creek, S.	with some Distichlis, dry	Distichlis, Limonium	Distichlis edge. Very
	alterniflora.	sediment 60' from ditch.	and stunted S.	sandy, moderate
			alterniflora.	elevation change, 20'
				from end of a ditch.
Amphipods	10	5	10	2
Melampus	0	0	0	1

# PAIR V Site: Mashomack Preserve Date: October 19, 2004 Time: 10:45

Notes: Extensive ditching, some in poor condition. Good tidal inundation to marsh transition; little high marsh. No fish or mosquitoes noted. Many snails (Littorina sp.) in ditches, many fiddler crabs near ditch and creek edges, many ribbed mussels.

Fiddler Crabs: Sampled parallel to edge of main tidal creek in tall S. alterniflora.

R1: 5	R2: 4	R3: 2		
	Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3	Sample 4
Site	Low marsh. Edge of tidal creek, tall S. alterniflora.	High marsh. S. patens mixed with Distichlis 30' from ditch.	Pool/wet panne edge. Salicornia, Limonium, stunted alterniflora.	Transition. Iva, Distichlis: Drier sediment 90' from tidal creek.
Amphipods	0	2	1	7
Melampus	0 Note: 7 snails in sample, probably Littorina sp., but not the same species as in the ditches.	3	6	2